



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/536,078	03/24/2000	Franco Travostino	2204/A34	1845
2101	7590	02/18/2005	EXAMINER	
<b>BROMBERG &amp; SUNSTEIN LLP</b> 125 SUMMER STREET BOSTON, MA 02110-1618				OPIE, GEORGE L
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2126				

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/536,078	Travostino et al.
	<b>George L. Opie</b>	<b>Art Unit</b> 2755

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) *Dave Dagg (Applicant's Representative)* . (3)  
 (2) *George Opie (PTO)* . (4)

Date of Interview: 17 February 2005.

Type: a)  Telephonic b)  Video Conference  
 c)  Personal copy given to: 1)  applicant 2)  applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e)  No.

If Yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent 5,509,123

Agreement with respect to the claims f)  was reached. g)  was not reached. h)  N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The Applicant provided a detailed explanation of the invention. Applicant proposed amending the independent claims by specifying the inclusion of a routing table, and it was suggested that the claim 1 limitations also be amended to include the claim 2 limitations. Applicant's proposed amendment would require further search and consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

*George L. Opie*

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required